

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,137	06/26/2003	Soheil Shams		6733	
68078 SOHEIL SHA	68078 7590 08/03/2009 SOHEIL SHAMS, PHD			EXAMINER	
2121 ROSECRANS AVE.			BRUSCA, JOHN S		
SUITE 3315 EL SEGUNDO	CA 90245		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
125 (225 (217 ) 216			1631	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/609.137 SHAMS, SOHEIL Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit John S. Brusca 1631 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--This application is abandoned in view of: Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 14 January 2009. (a) A reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of \_\_\_\_\_ month(s)) which expired on \_\_\_\_ (b) A proposed reply was received on , but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOI -85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ . The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) \(\Pi\) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6, The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below:

/John S. Brusca/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

See Continuation Sheet

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment. A message was left for Mr. Shams at the correspondence address of record on 77/27/2009 informing the applicant that no response was received. Mr. Shams responded on 77/28/2009 with coreall message stating that he did not receive the Office action mailed 1/14/2009 and that his mailing address has changed. A voicemail message was left for Mr. Shams on 7/29/2009 informing the applicant that absent evidence that the Office action was not received the application is now abandoned. The applicant was informed of their option of fling a petition to revive accompanied by a response to the Office action mailed 1/14/2009 which would require a request for continued examination for entry of the response. The current correspondence address is that of customer number 6807.8. The Office action mailed 1/14/2009 was not returned to the Office as undeliverable.